

Optimisation Algorithms in Statistics I – Autumn 2020 Assignment 4

Frank Miller, frank.miller@stat.su.se, Department of Statistics, Stockholm University

November 6, 2020

Perform the solutions individually and send your report **until November 27** to me. Try to keep this deadline. However, if you have problems with it, there will be a final deadline on January 25 for all assignments. Please include your name in the filename(s) of your solution file(s).

Problem 4.1

We want to determine the D-optimal design for cubic regression where the independent variable x is allowed to have values between 0 and 10. Four different $x_i \in [0, 10], i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, can be chosen by the experimenter and the proportion of observations done at each x_i is $w_i \ge 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i = 1$. The D-optimal design maximises

$$\det\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 w_i \mathbf{f}(x_i) \mathbf{f}(x_i)^\top\right), \text{ with } \mathbf{f}(x) = (1, x, x^2, x^3)^\top,$$

under the restrictions mentioned above.

- a. Determine a matrix **U** and a vector **c** such that the constraints can be written in the form $\mathbf{Uy} \mathbf{c} \ge 0$, where **y** is the vector of parameters to be optimised over.
- b. Determine the D-optimal design using constrOptim. Does the result make sense?
- c. Write an R-function for a function \tilde{g} where log barriers $\mu \cdot b(\mathbf{y})$ at all constraints are added to the function g (which is to be maximised). The value μ could be a parameter in the function such that you easily can modify it.
- d. Choose some reasonable values for μ and compute the optimal value of g using unconstrained optimisation, e.g with optim. Hint: Check first how large values of g are to get the μ 's roughly right. Report results for a sequence of decreasing μ . Do you obtain similar results as in b. when using small μ ?

Problem 4.2

We consider again as in Problem 3.3 the experiment investigating how the growth of garden cress depends on a (potentially) toxic fertilizer. The data is on the homepage in the file cressdata.txt (columns: observation number, fertilizer concentration, yield).

We want to estimate now a third-degree polynomial (cubic), again using least squares with L^1 In contrast to the penalized objective function in Problem 3.3, we use now the constrained objective function

Minimise
$$g(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$
 subject to $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \le t$, (1)

where **X** is the design matrix with columns 1, fertilizer, fertilizer², fertilizer³, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^{\top}$ is the parameter vector without intercept, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^{\top}$ is the complete parameter vector and **y** is the yield-data. (We do this time not regularise the intercept, see e.g. Lange (2010), page 310.) The constant $t \ge 0$ is now the regularisation constant. t and λ (in Problem 3.3) are related such that a t in the constrained problem corresponds to an λ in the penalised problem which gives the same solution.

Note that now, $t = \infty$ corresponds to the least squares estimation, where the solution for β of the optimisation problem is $(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$.

- a. Write the constraint $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq t$ in terms of eight linear constraints $\mathbf{u}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i \geq 0$ (or as $\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{0}$ with a matrix \mathbf{U} with 8 rows).
- b. Write an expression for the objective function minus log barriers, $\tilde{g}(\beta) = g(\beta) \mu \cdot b(\beta)$. Determine the gradient of g and of \tilde{g} . (Note: You do not have to implement the gradient of \tilde{g} .)
- c. Compute the Lasso-estimate using constrOptim for t = 1000, 100 and two other t's. Test two different methods for the inner iteration in optim, e.g. Nelder-Mead and BFGS. For the non-Nelder-Mead-method, specify explicitly the gradient when calling constrOptim. (Note: here you need the gradient of g, not of \tilde{g} .) Check $\|\tilde{\beta}\|_1$ for the solutions: is the solution on the boundary of the set of feasible points or in the inner of the set?