

Solutions for 100324 (1)
Inference, CB.

Ex 1b) $X_1, \dots, X_n$, $P(X_i=1)=\theta$, $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(\theta)$. Verify that $T = \sum X_i$ is suff. for θ .

$$\square P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_n=x_n | T=t) = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_n=x_n, T=t)}{P(T=t)} = \frac{\theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}}, \text{ so by def. we showed that}$$

the conditional distr. of $X_1, \dots, X_n$ given T does not depend on θ . Interpretation: Given the total number of ones in n trials, the probability that they occur on any particular set of t trials is the same.

Ex 1c) Use Thm. 6.2.3: $\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)}$ is const wrt θ iff $x=y$.

$$\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum (x_i - \theta)^2}}{(2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum (y_i - \theta)^2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum x_i^2 - \sum y_i^2\right) + 2\theta \sum (y_i - x_i)\right)$$

This is a const. wrt θ iff $y = x \Rightarrow \bar{x}$ is min suff. stat.

Ex 2 a) $E[\sum a_i X_i] = \sum a_i E[X_i] = \mu \sum a_i = \mu$ (unbiased)

b) $\text{Var}[\sum a_i X_i] = \sum a_i^2 \text{Var}[X_i] = \sum a_i^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \sum a_i^2$. So we

need to minimize $\sum a_i^2$ subject to the constraint $\sum a_i = 1$. Add and subtr. the mean of a_i , $\frac{1}{n}$ to have

$$\sum a_i^2 = \sum \left[\left(a_i - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n} \right]^2 = \sum \left(a_i - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \quad (\text{cross terms} = 0)$$

Hence $\sum a_i^2$ is minimized by setting $a_i = \frac{1}{n} \forall i=1, \dots, n$. Thus $\bar{X}$ has a min variance of all unbiased estimators.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ex. 3a) } \beta(\theta) &= P_{\theta} \left(\frac{|\bar{X} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c \right) = 1 - P_{\theta} \left(\frac{|\bar{X} - \theta_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq c \right) = \\
 &= 1 - P_{\theta} \left(-\frac{c\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X} - \theta_0 \leq \frac{c\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \\
 &= 1 - P_{\theta} \left(\frac{-c\sigma/\sqrt{n} + \theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{c\sigma/\sqrt{n} + \theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) = \\
 &= 1 - P_{\theta} \left(-c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq Z \leq c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) = \\
 &= 1 + \Phi \left(-c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) - \Phi \left(c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

b) $0.05 = \beta(\theta_0) = 1 - \Phi(-c) - \Phi(c) \Rightarrow c = 1.96$, the power, (1 - type II error) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 0.75 &\leq \beta(\theta_0 + \delta) = 1 - \Phi(-c - \sqrt{n}) - \Phi(c - \sqrt{n}) = \\
 &= 1 + \Phi(-1.96 - \sqrt{n}) - \Phi(1.96 - \sqrt{n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi(-0.675) \approx 0.25 \Rightarrow 1.96 - \sqrt{n} = -0.675 \Rightarrow n = 6.943 \approx \underline{\underline{7}}.$$

Ex. 4a) $L(\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} \sum x_i}$, $\tau > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(\tau) &= \log L(\tau) = -n \log \tau - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum x_i, \quad \ell'(\tau) = -\frac{n}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \sum x_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau^2} \sum x_i = \frac{n}{\tau} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} = \bar{x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\ell''(\tau) = \frac{n}{\tau^2} - \frac{2}{\tau^3} \sum x_i = \frac{n}{\tau^2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\tau} \bar{x}_n\right) < 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\tau}_{ML} = \bar{x}.$$

b) $E[\hat{\tau}_n(x)] = E[\bar{x}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum E[x_i] = \tau$, $V[\hat{\tau}_n] = \frac{1}{n} V[x_i] = \frac{\tau^2}{n}$.

c) Since $E[\hat{\tau}_n] = \tau$ and $\text{Var}[\hat{\tau}_n] = \frac{\tau^2}{n}$ the CLT gives

$$\frac{\bar{x}_n - \tau}{\tau/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\hat{\tau}_n - \tau}{\tau/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0,1). \quad \text{Using the fact that}$$

$$\hat{\tau}_n \xrightarrow{P} \tau, \text{ we can also write } \frac{\hat{\tau}_n - \tau}{\hat{\tau}_n/\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow N(0,1).$$

(3)

d) Since by the C-R inequality

$$V(\tilde{\tau}_n) \geq \frac{1}{nI(\tau)} = \frac{1}{n \{-E[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} \log f(x|\tau)]\}} = \frac{1}{n E[\frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{2}{\tau^2} X]} = \frac{1}{n/\tau^2} = \frac{\tau^2}{n}$$

for any other unbiased estimator $\tilde{\tau}_n$ of τ ,

we see that m.l.e. attains the smallest variance possible among all unbiased estimators. Thus there is no other unbiased estimator with $\text{Var.} < \frac{\tau^2}{n}$.

e) Using the result c), we have

$$P(-Z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\tau}_n - \tau}{\tau/\sqrt{n}} \leq Z_{\alpha/2}) \approx 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(-\frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\hat{\tau}_n}{\tau} - 1 \leq \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}) \approx 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(-\frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}} + 1 \leq \frac{\hat{\tau}_n}{\tau} \leq \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}} + 1) = 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_n}{1 + Z_{\alpha/2}/\sqrt{n}} \leq \tau \leq \frac{\hat{\tau}_n}{1 - Z_{\alpha/2}/\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

$\rightarrow I_{\tau} = [i, j]$

Ex. 5. True m.l.e of β is $X_{(n)} = \max_i X_i$. Since β is a scale parameter, $X_{(n)}/\beta$ is a pivot, and

$$0.05 = P_{\beta}\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{\beta} \leq c\right) = P_{\beta}(\text{all } X_i \leq c\beta) = \left(\frac{c\beta}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha n} = c^{\alpha n},$$

which means that $c = (0.05)^{1/\alpha n}$. Thus, $0.95 = P_{\beta}(X_{(n)}/\beta > c) = P(X_{(n)}/c > \beta)$, and $\{\beta: \beta < \frac{X_{(n)}}{0.05^{1/\alpha n}}\}$ is

a 95% upper confidence limit for β

$$C_{\alpha}(a, b) P(\bar{x} > \theta_0 + z_{\alpha} \sigma / \sqrt{n} | \theta_0) = P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > z_{\alpha} | \theta_0\right) = P(Z > z_{\alpha}) = \alpha \quad (4)$$

Since $\bar{x}$ is unrestricted maximum likelihood, and the restricted MLE is θ_0 if $\bar{x} > \theta_0$, the LRT statistic is, for $\bar{x} > \theta_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2 / 2\sigma^2}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / 2\sigma^2}} = \\ &= \frac{e^{-[n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 + (n-1)S^2] / 2\sigma^2}}{e^{-(n-1)S^2 / 2\sigma^2}} = e^{-n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 / 2\sigma^2}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda(x)$ is 1 for $\bar{x} < \theta_0$. Thus, rejecting if $\lambda(x) < c$ is equivalent to rejecting if $\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > c'$.

c) Since $\bar{x}$ is the sufficient statistics and the likelihood ratio, $\lambda(x)$ is a monotone in $\bar{x}$, the test is UMP test of size α .