

Evaluating the Proportional Hazards Assumption*

Gebrenegus Ghilagaber

March 4, 2008

1 Proportional Hazards (PH) Model

To make matters simple, consider a Cox PH model with just one fixed covariate:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t|x) &= h_0(t) \exp(\beta x) \\ &= \begin{array}{ll} h_0(t) & * \exp(\beta x) \\ \text{Baseline hazard} & * \text{Relative Hazard} \\ \text{(Function of } t \text{ but not of } x) & \text{(Function of } x \text{ but not of } t) \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the Cox PH model specifies the hazard at time t for an individual with covariate x as a product of a baseline hazard (which is a

*Most of the material in this section is based on Chapter 4 of D. G. Kleinbaum (1996), "Survival Analysis - a self learning text"

function of t) and a relative hazard (which is a constant - independent of the time t). Suppose, the covariate x is binary taking values 0 and 1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}h(t|x = 0) &= h_0(t) \exp(\beta * 0) \\ &= h_0(t)\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}h(t|x = 1) &= h_0(t) \exp(\beta * 1) \\ &= h_0(t) \exp(\beta) \\ &= h(t|x = 0) * \exp(\beta)\end{aligned}$$

and, thus

$$\frac{h(t|x = 1)}{h(t|x = 0)} = \exp(\beta) = \text{constant over } t.$$

Since the hazard $h(t|x = 1)$ can be expressed as a product of the other hazard, $h(t|x = 0)$, times a constant the two hazards are proportional to each other - that is the assumption in the Cox PH model. Under this assumption (if the hazards are proportional), the corresponding estimated survivor functions are given by:

$$\hat{S}(t|x = 1) = [\hat{S}_0(t)]^{\exp(\beta)} = [\hat{S}_0(t)]^{e^\beta} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{S}_0(t) = \hat{S}(t|x = 0)$$

is the baseline survivor function.

2 Checking the Proportional Hazards Assumption

How do we test if the PH assumption is violated? Three approaches are outlined below:

2.1 Graphical Approach

2.1.1 Plots of estimated hazards

Plot the estimated hazard functions of the different levels of the covariate and examine if they are proportional (don't cross)

2.1.2 Log-Log Plots of Estimated Survivor Functions, $\hat{S}(t)$

- Plot $-\ln(-\ln(\hat{S}(t)))$ for each level of the covariate of interest.
- Parallel curves indicate that PH assumption is satisfied since in that case (denoting $\hat{S}(t|x=1) = \hat{S}_1(t)$)

$$\hat{S}_1(t) = [\hat{S}_0(t)]^{e^\beta} \quad (2)$$

$$\implies \ln [\hat{S}_1(t)] = \ln ([\hat{S}_0(t)]) = e^\beta \ln [\hat{S}_0(t)] \quad (3)$$

$$\implies -\ln \{ -\ln [\hat{S}_1(t)] \} = -\ln \{ -e^\beta \ln [\hat{S}_0(t)] \} \quad (4)$$

$$= \beta - \ln \{ -\ln [\hat{S}_0(t)] \} \quad (5)$$

Thus, under the PH assumption the two curves, $-\ln \left\{ -\ln \left[\hat{S}_1(t) \right] \right\}$ and $-\ln \left\{ -\ln \left[\hat{S}_0(t) \right] \right\}$, should be parallel (separated by a constant, β) throughout the time (for all values of t).

2.1.3 Observed and Expected Survivor curves

- An alternative graphical approach is to compare observed and predicted survivor curves, where
- Observed curves are derived for levels of the covariate under examination without putting it in a PH model, while
- Predicted curves are derived with the covariate in a PH model.

- If observed and predicted curves are close, the the PH assumption is satisfied.

2.1.4 Drawback of the graphical methods:

- Subjective (how parallel is parallel?)

2.2 Time-dependent variables

- When time-dependent variables are used to assess the PH assumption for a time-independent variable, the ordinary Cox PH model is extended to contain a product term (interaction term) involving the time-independent variable being assessed and some function of time.

- Thus, if we want to test if GNEDER fulfills the PH assumption, then we may extend the usual model:

$$h(t|x) = h_0(t) \exp(\beta_1 x_1)$$

where $x_1 = \text{GENDER}$ to include an interaction term between GENDER and TIME:

$$h(t|x) = h_0(t) \exp(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2)$$

where $x_2 = \text{GENDER} * \text{TIME}$ or $\text{GENDER} * \log(\text{TIME})$, etc

- If β_2 is significant, then the PH assumption is violated for the variable in question (GENDER).

2.3 Goodness-of-fit-test

3 Examples