

## EXAM IN ECONOMETRICS I 2022-04-28

Time: 5 hours

- Aids allowed: Pocket calculator, Textbook: Wooldridge, J.M. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach, Cengage and notes written in the textbook are allowed.
- Note that no formula sheet is provided.
- Passing rate: 50% of overall total, which is 100 points. For detailed grading criteria, see the course description.
- The exam consists of four questions. To score maximum points on a question, solution need to be clear, detailed, and well-motivated.

-----

### Question. 1 (5+4+3+3+5+5+=25 Points)

A study was made by a retail merchant to determine the relationship between weekly advertising expenditure and sale. The following data were recorded. X=Advertising Cost (\$) Y=Sale (\$)

X	Y	$\hat{Y}$	$\hat{u}$
40	385	472.538	-87.5381
20	400	408.122	-8.1218
25	395	424.226	-29.2259
20	365	408.122	-43.1218
30	475	440.33	34.6701
50	440	504.746	-64.7462
40	490	472.538	17.4619
20	420	408.122	11.8782
50	560	504.746	55.2538
40	525	472.538	52.4619
25	480	424.226	55.7741
50	510	504.746	5.2538

$$\sum X = 410, \sum X^2 = 15650, \sum Y = 5445, \sum Y^2 = 2512925, \sum XY = 191325$$

- a) Estimate the model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u$  using the above information and interpret the estimated regression coefficient.

- b) Assess how much variability in sale because of advertising cost and interpret it.
- c) Estimate the standard error of the regression ( $\hat{\sigma}$ ).
- d) For what value of advertising cost the expected value of sale is 485\$.
- e) Construct 95% C.I for  $\beta_1$ .
- f) Test the hypothesis that the mean value of weekly sale is 500\$ when advertising cost is 42\$.

**Question. 2** (12+13=25 Points)

- 1) Determine which of the following models are linear in parameter or linear in variables or both.

1) Geometric or power curve model:  $y_i = \beta_0 x_i^{\beta_1} + u_i$

2) Quadratic model:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2 + u$

3)  $\ln(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(x_{1i}) + u_i$

4)  $\ln(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{1}{x_{1i}} + u_i$

- 2) The following equations were estimated using the data. The first equation is for houses with fireplaces and the second for houses without fireplaces

$$\hat{Y} = 64.9970 - 39.4931X_2 + 7.6578X_3 - 0.7553X_8$$

where  $n = 6$ ,  $R^2 = 0.9839$  and  $SSR = 2.74194$ .

$$\hat{Y} = 15.5566 + 14.4781X_2 + 0.9598X_3 - 0.1062X_8$$

where  $n = 18$ ,  $R^2 = 0.6757$  and  $SSR = 195.2586$ .

The third equation combine houses with and without fireplaces.

$$\hat{Y} = 17.37162 + 13.44330X_2 + 0.90053X_3 - 0.11457X_8$$

where  $n = 24$ ,  $R^2 = 0.7146$  and  $SSR = 236.5995$ .

Compute the usual Chow test for testing the null hypothesis that the regression equations are the same for houses with a fireplace and for houses without a fireplace.

**Question. 3** (7+10+8=25 Points)

- a) The two-equation system in “supply and demand form”, that is, with the same variable  $y_t$  appearing on the left-hand side:

$$y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 + \beta_1 z_1 + u_1$$

$$y_1 = \alpha_2 y_2 + \beta_2 z_2 + u_2$$

If  $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ , find the reduced form for  $Y_1$ .

b) Consider the simple regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$$

and let  $z$  be a binary instrumental variable for  $x$ . Show that the IV estimator  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{cov}(z, x)}$  can be

written as 
$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_0)}{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_0)}$$

where  $\bar{y}_0$  and  $\bar{x}_0$  are the sample averages of  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  over the part of the sample with  $z_i = 0$ , and where  $\bar{y}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_1$  are the sample averages of  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  over the part of the sample with  $z_i = 1$ .

c) Let *grad* be a dummy variable for whether a student-athlete at a large university graduates in five years. Let *hsGP A* and *SAT* be high school grade point average and SAT score, respectively. Let *study* be the number of hours spent per week in an organized study hall. Using data on 420 student-athletes, we obtain:

$$\ln\left(\frac{p(\text{grade} = 1)}{1 - p(\text{grade} = 1)}\right) = -1.17 + 0.24\text{hsGPA} + 0.00058\text{SAT} + 0.073\text{study}$$

- I. Holding *hsGPA* fixed at 3.0 and *SAT* fixed at 1200, compute the estimated difference in the graduation probability for someone who spent 11 hours per week in study hall and someone who spent 5 hours.
- II. Compute the estimated non-graduation probability for someone having *hsGPA* 5.0 *SAT* score 1500 and who spent 15 hours per week in study hall.

**Question. 4** (2.5+...+2.5=25 Points)

Indicate which alternative that is correct. Answering more than one alternative result in 0 points on the sub-question. No motivation is required.

- 1) In the model  $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + u_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the error term is heteroscedastic when
  - a)  $\text{var}(u_i) = 2\sigma^2 + 5$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
  - b)  $u_i = 20 + \varepsilon_i$  with  $\text{var}(\varepsilon_i) = 10$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
  - c)  $\text{var}(u_i) = \sigma_i^2$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
  - d)  $\text{var}(u_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + 4$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

- 2) Under the standard hypotheses of the General Linear Model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , efficiency in the Gauss-Markov sense of the OLS estimator of  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  means that:
- The variance of the OLS estimator of  $\beta$  is equal to one.
  - There is no alternative linear and unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  with a smaller variance.
  - The expected value of the OLS estimator of  $\beta$  is always equal to zero.
  - The maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$  has smaller variance than OLS estimator of  $\beta$ .
- 3) Simultaneous equation models are typically estimated with:
- Regression models
  - Logistic regression
  - 2SLS/IV regression
  - Weighted least squares
- 4) For the regression specification  $y = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon$  the OLS estimates result from minimizing the sum of
- $(\alpha + \beta x)^2$
  - $(\alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon)^2$
  - $(y - \alpha + \beta x)^2$
  - none of these
- 5) Suppose you are using the specification  $wage = \alpha + \beta Education + \delta Male + \theta Education * Male + \varepsilon$   
In this specification the influence of Education on wage is the same for both males and females if
- $\delta = 0$
  - $\theta = 0$
  - $\theta = \delta$
  - $\theta + \delta = 0$
- 6) Correlation between the error term and an explanatory variable can arise because
- of error in measuring the dependent variable
  - of a constant non-zero expected error
  - the equation we are estimating is part of a system of simultaneous equations
  - of multicollinearity
- 7) In the specification  $wage = \beta Education + \delta Male + \theta Female + \varepsilon$
- there is perfect multicollinearity
  - the computer will refuse to run this regression
  - both a) and b) above
  - none of the above

- 8) In general, omitting a relevant explanatory variable creates
- a) bias and increases variance
  - b) bias and decreases variance
  - c) no bias and increases variance
  - d) no bias and decreases variance
- 9) Multicollinearity causes
- a) low R-squares
  - b) biased coefficient estimates
  - c) biased coefficient variance estimates
  - d) none of these
- 10) Suppose your dependent variable is aggregate household demand for electricity for various cities. To correct for heteroskedasticity you should
- a) multiply observations by the city size
  - b) divide observations by the city size
  - c) multiply observations by the square root of the city size
  - d) divide observations by the square root of the city size
  - e) none of these